

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

ELECTRONIC

01/28/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/570,617	01/17/2007	Tetsujiro Kondo	286439US6PCT	9553	
	7590 01/28/201 AK, MCCLELLAND	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			NORTON, JENNIFER L		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER	
			2121		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/570,617	KONDO, TETSUJIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JENNIFER L. NORTON	2121	

	JENNIFER L. NORTON	2121						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	eply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this cation, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the cation in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request ontinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods; a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i	n.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data- have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp</li> </ol>								
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			appeal. Since a					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> </ol>	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	cause					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		out.oo					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripilarie / trioriariorie (i	102 024).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3.4.26 and 29-34.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-8,10,13,14,16-2	<u>3 and 25</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

/Ramesh B. Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2121 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Newly presented limitations of claims 1, 26 and 29 have not been previously considered and/or searched, and raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or searching by the Examiner.